

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS AUSTIN

GERALD C. MANN ATTORNEY GENERAL

> Honorable T. K. Wilkinson County Auditor, Hill County Hillsboro, Texas

Dear Sir:

Opinion Number 0-5981
Re: Authority of a County Commissioners' Court to sell road district bonds when only one bid has been received therefor.

We have your letter of September 15, requesting our opinion on the following question:

"Can these bonds be sold on only one bid? Is the form of advertisement that I am submitting correct?"

The bonds to which you refer are those authorized by Road District Number 5 of Hill County, dated April 10, 1919, bearing interest at the rate of 5% per annum, and which have been in the custody of the Commissioners' Court of the county pending the time when funds to be derived from the sale of such bonds are needed for the construction and maintenance of roads within said Road District. It now appears that a necessity exists for the sale of such bonds, and the county has advertised for bids for the sale thereof and has received only one bid pursuent to such advertisement.

Assuming that Road District Number 5 of Hill County lies entirely within the county, Article 752j, of Vernon's Annotated Civil Statutes, would constitute the legal direction for the sale of such bonds. This article provides that after approval and registration as provided by law relative to other bonds, such bonds shall continue in the custody and control of the Commissioners' Court in the county in which they were

Honorable T. K. Wilsinson, page #2

issued, and shall be by said court sold to the highest and best bidder for cash, either in whole or in parcels, but at not less than their par value, and the purchase money therefor shall be placed in the County Treasury for such county to the credit of the available road fund for such political subdivision or road district. It will be seen that the above statute does not require the advertisement for bids; the only duty imposed upon the Commissioners' Court with reference to the sale of road district bonds is that the court shall sell said bonds to the highest and best bidder for each at not less than the par value of the bonds.

Therefore, if only one bid has been received for the bonds. it must be conseded that such bid is the highest and best bid obtained, and in the event the bid contemplated a payment of not less than par for said bonds, it is our opinion that the court would be authorized to sell the bonds even though only one bid had been received.

The second part of your question relates to the correctness of the advertisement, wherein the sounty advertised for bids. Inammeh as there is no requirement for the advertisement for bids, we think the form such advertising by the county may take would be relatively unimportant so long as the result of such advertising was to effect a sale of the bonds at a price of not less than per value.

Trusting that the foregoing satisfactorily answers your inquiry, we are

ROVED OCT 9, 1941

YOURS YELF TRULY

FIRST ASSISTANT

CLES ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THIAS

ATTORNEY GENERAL

By Clarence & Crown Glarone & Crown

CIC_E

